

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/758,299	3,299 01/10/2001		David Clarke Pollock	HEM 99/607 (A-2911)	9699	
24131	7590	10/20/2005		EXAMINER		
		EENBERG, PA	PRONE, JASON D			
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110221 11 002, 12 00022 2 100				3724		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				E						
		Application No.	Appl	icant(s)						
		09/758,299	POL	POLLOCK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art U	Jnit						
		Jason Prone	3724							
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the corresp	oondence ac	idress					
WHIII - Extending after	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Densions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS CON 136(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire SI. e. cause the application to b	MMUNICATION.  er, may a reply be timely filed  X (6) MONTHS from the mail  ecome ABANDONED (35 U	ing date of this c						
Status										
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	lul <u>y 2005</u> .								
2a)□										
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,5,7-11 and 22-24</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
•	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 5, 7-11, and 22-24</u> is/are rejected.									
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	ent.							
Applicat	tion Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the									
. —	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the a	ttached Office Action	n or form P	10-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Burea									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attach	74(c)				•					
Attachmer  1) Noti	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 In	terview Summary (PTO-4	113)						
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	P:	aper No(s)/Mail Date	<u>_</u> .	2.450)					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	, ==	otice of Informal Patent A ther:	pplication (PT)	J-152)					
, ap		-, ت								

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 7-11, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fr. 470,543 in view of Spengler (4,014,234) further in view of Shore et al. (5,526,726).

#### Claims 1, 5, 7-9, and 22-24:

Fr. 470,543 discloses the invention including a pair of cylinders disposed opposite one another with a gap in-between (C and D), that the pair of cylinders includes a first cutting cylinder (C) having a periphery with a cutting knife disposed helically about the periphery (E), and a second cylinder (D), and one drive (it is inherent the cylinders C and D must have a drive to rotate them, see semi-circular arrows from Fig. 1) rotating the first cutting cylinder at a speed proportional to the speed of the work piece (speed of the cylinder is proportional to the speed of the work piece to produce the specific cut shown in Fig. 3) for cutting and providing a cut from the work piece with a smooth straight edge (a).

However, Fr. 470,543 fails to disclose a sub-frame having a pivot point, the sub-frame supports the cylinders, the sub-frame controlling a position of the cylinders in regards to the work piece, a further drive connected to the sub-frame for pivoting the

Art Unit: 3724

sub-frame about the pivot point, a control unit connected to and controlling the further drive and the one drive for controlling a rotational speed of the first cutting cylinder, a second drive rotates and mounts to the second cylinder, the first and second drives are motors, and that the first and second drives are gears, a sensor connected to the control unit and disposed in the travel path of the work piece, providing control signals to the control unit for controlling operation of the cylinders and monitoring the cutting operation, the sensors detect an unacceptable cut, and that the control unit is a microprocessor.

In regards to claims 1, 5, 7, and 8, Spengler teaches a sub-frame (32) having a pivot point (29), the sub-frame supports the cylinders and first and second drives (Fig. 3), the sub-frame controlling a position of the cylinders in regards to the work piece (29), a further drive connected to the sub-frame for pivoting the sub-frame about the pivot point (45), a control unit connected to and controlling the further drive and the one drive for controlling a rotational speed of the first cutting cylinder (Column 5 lines 40-52), a second drive rotates and mounts to the second cylinder (Abstract), the first and second drives are motors (6), and the first and second drives are gears (7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to have provided Fr. 470,543 with a pivoting sub-frame and drives, as taught by Spengler, to allow for different angles to be cut into the work piece and to more efficiently run the cutting drums.

In regards to claims 1, 9, and 22-24, Shore et al. teaches a sensor, connected to the control unit and disposed in the travel path of the work piece, providing control

Art Unit: 3724

signals to the control unit for controlling operation of the cylinders and monitoring the cutting operation, the sensors detect an unacceptable cut, the sensor is a position sensor, and the control unit is a microprocessor (Column 1 lines 15-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to have replaced the user input control unit of Fr. 470,543 in view of Spengler with the sensors and control unit as taught by Shore et al. in order to provide Fr. 470,543 in view of Spengler with a more accurate and faster control of the cutting conditions.

## Claims 10 and 11:

Fr. 470,543 discloses the invention including a frame (Inherent), one drive (it is inherent the cylinders C and D must have a drive to rotate them, see semi-circular arrows from Fig. 1), a pair of cylinders disposed opposite one another with a gap inbetween (C and D), the pair of cylinders includes a first cutting cylinder (C) having a periphery with a cutting knife disposed helically about the periphery (E), and a second cylinder (D), and the first cutting cylinder is driven by the one drive at a speed proportional to the speed of the work piece (speed of the cylinder is proportional to the speed of the work piece to produce the specific cut shown in Fig. 3) for cutting and providing a cut from the work piece with a smooth straight edge (a).

However, Fr. 470,543 fails to disclose the one drive is housed in the sub-frame, a sub-frame pivotally mounted on the frame, the sub-frame controlling a position of the cylinder in regards to the work piece, a further drive connected to the sub-frame for pivoting the sub-frame about the pivot point, a control unit connected to and controlling the further drive and the one drive for controlling a rotational speed of the first cutting

Art Unit: 3724

cylinder, a second drive rotates and mounts to the second cylinder, a component of travel of a point of contact between the cylinders in a direction of travel of the work piece matches a speed of the work piece for cutting in a straight line, a sensor connected to the control unit and disposed in the travel path of the work piece, and providing control signals to the control unit for controlling operation of the cylinders and monitoring the cutting operation.

Spengler teaches the one drive is housed in the sub-frame (Fig. 1), a sub-frame pivotally mounted on the frame (29), the sub-frame controlling a position of the cylinder in regards to the work piece (32), a further drive connected to the sub-frame for pivoting the sub-frame about the pivot point (45), a control unit connected to and controlling the further drive and the one drive for controlling a rotational speed of the first cutting cylinder (Column 5 lines 40-52), a second drive rotates and mounts to the second cylinder (Abstract), and that a component of travel of a point of contact between the cylinders in a direction of travel of the work piece matches a speed of the work piece for cutting in a straight line (Fig. 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to have provided Fr. 470,543 with a pivoting sub-frame and drives, as taught by Spengler, to allow for different angles to be cut into the work piece and to more efficiently run the cutting drums.

Shore et al. teaches a sensor, connected to the control unit and disposed in the travel path of the work piece, and providing control signals to the control unit for controlling operation of the cylinders and monitoring the cutting operation (Column 1 lines 15-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at

Art Unit: 3724

the time of the invention to have replaced the user input control unit of Fr. 470,543 in view of Spengler with the sensors and control unit as taught by Shore et al. in order to provide Fr. 470,543 in view of Spengler with a more accurate and faster control of the cutting conditions.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 27 July 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Bourdin does have a drive to move the first cutting cylinder (semi-circular arrows in Fig. 1). In order for the cuts, shown in Figure 3, to be in a straight line there has to be cooperation between the speeds of the cylinders and the work piece. In Spengler, it is taught that the sheet material is moved at a constant speed, while the speed of the drive mechanism is changed to accommodate the size of the finished blank. However, even though the speed of the drive mechanism is changed to accommodate the size of the finished blank, in order for the specific cut to be created, the speed of the work piece and the cutting cylinder must be proportional or an incorrect cut will be made.

#### Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (571) 272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 18, 2005

Patent Examiner
Jason Prone
Art Unit 3724

T.C. 3700